

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/857,569 | 09/857,569 06/07/2001 | | Masatake Nakano | 109715 | 5019 | |
| 25944 | 7590 | 03/02/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| OLIFF & B | | E, PLC | GOUDREAU, GEORGE A | | | |
| P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | 22320 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Tibbinity VII 22020 | | | | 1763 | 1763 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | N . | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 09/857,569 NAKANO ET A | | NL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | George A. Goudreau | 1763 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet w | th the correspondence addre | SS | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AE | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commit SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-03 | <u>3-03'</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| | ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is sed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-19 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-16 and 20-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction of the correction of the original than the correction of the correcti | epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyan ion is required if the drawing(| ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 | ` ' | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Sta | | | | | |
| | | GEORGE GOU PRIMARY EXA | MINER | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | ∆ □ 5.4 • • | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s | ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of In | formal Patent Application (PTO-152 | ?) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/857,569 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

1. Claims 17-19 are allowed.

2. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

-In line 8 of claim 14, it is unclear which wafer applicant is referring to.

(Applicant should specify whether the wafer is the base wafer or the bonding wafer.)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Henley et. al. (6,265,328) as applied in paragraph 16 of the previous office action.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,569

Art Unit: 1763

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 7. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley et. al. as applied in paragraph 21 of the previous office action.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 12-03-03' have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the following points regarding the examiner's rejection of their claimed subject matter.

-The Henley et. al. reference fails to disclose the specific application of H2O to the center of the wafer while the edge of the wafer is being polished.

The examiner must disagree.

-Henley et. al. teach in column 7 that inert fluids such as H2O may be applied to the center of the wafer while the edge of the wafer is being polished.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,569

Art Unit: 1763

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner George A. Goudreau at telephone number 571-272-1434.

George A. Goudreau

George A. Goudreau

Primary-Art Unit 1763